

**ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE**

**SOUTHERN AREA COMMITTEE 4<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2012**

**ITEM 2 – S/2012/0893 8 OLD CASTLE ROAD SALISBURY**

WC Highways – No objections (based on parking plan provided)

**ITEM 5 –S/2012/1076 UNIT 1 & 2 SARUM BUSINESS PARK LANCASTER ROAD  
SALISBURY**

AGENT – letter from agent/architect as attached (Appendix 1)

**ITEM 7 S/2012/0928 2 LOVEGROVE ACRE DINTON SALISBURY**

Response from Parish Council as attached (Appendix 2)

## **Statement for Southern Area Planning Committee Members**

For committee on: 4 October 2012

In connection with: Planning application ref. S/2012/1076

Address: Units 1&2, Sarum Business Park, Lancaster Road, Salisbury

Laverstock and Ford Parish Council provided comments on application S/2012/1076 following their meeting on 6 August 2012. The PC notes that the heritage statement accompanying the application was compiled by Elaine Milton, former conservation officer at Salisbury District Council. This comment is of no relevance.

The heritage statement identifies that Unit 1 is of importance as one of the former technical buildings associated with the First World War activities at Old Sarum Aerodrome. Its general form and appearance, and its association with other similar buildings of the period contribute to the character of the conservation area. However, it should be acknowledged that there are a number of modern buildings in the vicinity of Unit 1 and that it is not a listed building.

The proposals mostly involve alterations to non-original fabric. The proposed alterations have been carefully designed to retain the general form and industrial character of the building, thus respecting the wider conservation area. In addition, the modern windows on the most prominent south elevation would be replaced to match the one remaining historic window thereby resulting in an enhancement. As stated in the independent heritage consultant's report, on balance the character and appearance of the conservation area would be preserved in accordance with the requirements of local and national policy guidance.

In summary, the client is making significant investment in the area in a manner consistent with both the NPPF and Core Strategy, while respecting (and in the case of the windows, enhancing) the building and conservation area.

# DINTON PARISH COUNCIL

Parish Clerk – Mrs Clare Churchill

**APPLICATION REFERENCE NO: S/2012/0928**

## Proposal

**Single storey 3 bed dwelling, detached garage and alterations to access.**

### Address

**2 Lovegrove Acre, Dinton**

Dinton Parish Council has considered the above application at a meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2012 and has the following response to make:

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <b>No Comment</b>  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <b>No Objections</b>   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <b>No objections subject to conditions</b> (Please set out in box below and the LPA will try and embrace within the decision notice but this cannot be guaranteed if they are not material or ultra vires) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <b>Object</b> (For material reasons set out in box below)  |

The rear gardens of Lovegrove Acre along with the recreation ground form an important open space in the housing restraint area at the heart of the conservation area itself within the CC&WWD AONB. Losing this important space would cause an adverse impact particularly with regard to the view from the recreation ground and adjoining properties and would not be in accordance with HI9 (i) and (ii), G2 (v), CN8, CN10 or CN11. It is believed that a condition of keeping the back gardens open was either stipulated on the original planning permission or as a condition of the sale of the open field for development.

Concern that access will be insufficient for emergency vehicles particularly fire service and therefore not in accordance with G2(i).

**Submitted by:** Mrs C Churchill

**Position:** Clerk

**Date:** 26<sup>th</sup> July 2012

**Contact no:** 01722 743027

S/2012/1120

Dear Sir,

I would like to express my objection to the above proposal prior to the next hearing of the Southern Area Planning Committee on 4th October.

I believe that the proposal would be an overdevelopment of an already well-developed site. The existing building is already too dominant in relation to the surrounding properties which are predominately bungalows. Any further development would only be of further detriment to the immediate neighbours and to the top of Ladysmith as a whole.

As the owner of 47a Ladysmith I am very concerned that this proposal would affect our visual amenities, it would affect the amount of light available to our eastern boundary and the size of the proposed building will dominate our house and adversely affect our environment.

Although the proposal is to subdivide the existing structure into two semi-detached buildings, to all intents it will still look like one huge house (even bigger than the existing one) and be totally out of keeping with its neighbours.

Yours faithfully,

Andrew Kettlety